## The Arian Controversy 199

superficial view—of being based upon irrefutable ar-gument. Canon Bright put the case very well where he wrote\*:

" Arianism would appeal to not a few minds by adopting a position virtually rationalistic, and by promising to secure a Christianity which should clear of philosophical stand Catholics objections, and would answer by insisting that the truths pertaining to the Divine Nature must be pre-eminently matter of adoring faith, that il was rash to speculate beyond the limit of revelation, and that the Arian position was itself open to criticism from reason's own point Arians would call of view. Catholics to 'be logical'; to admit the prior existence of the Father as involved in the very primary notion ol fatherhood; to halt no more between premiss and 2 conclusion, exchange their sentimental pietism foi convictions sustainable argument. And Catholics would bid them in turn remember the inevitably limited scope of human logic in regard to things divine anc would point out the sublime uniqueness of the divine relation called Fatherhood."

If we consider the subsequent history of the Ariar doctrine, its continual rebirth, the permanent appeal which, in at least some of its phases, it makes to certain types of intellect including some of the loftiest and shrewdest, there can be no reason foi surprise that Arius met with so much recognition and sympathy, even among those who refused hirr their active and definite support. Alexander wa< both troubled and annoyed to find that so many ol

<sup>\*</sup> The Age of the Fathers, chap. vi.